

REMARKS

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for allowing claims 2-5.


Claim 7 was rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The Examiner has treated claim 7 to recite “[H]igh frequency devices comprising the composition of claim 1.” Thus, claim 7 has been amended as the Examiner has interpreted this claim.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 6, and 7 as being anticipated by the ‘030, ‘995 or ‘379 patents. This rejection is respectfully traversed and should be withdrawn in light of this Amendment. The reason for the anticipation rejection is that the limits in claims 1 and 6 includes $x = 0$, $y = 0$, and $a = b = c = d = 0$ which makes the composition of claim 1 to be $ZnTiO_3$. Thus, the Applicants have changed the limits of claim 1 to *exclude* $x = 0$, $y = 0$, and $a = b = c = d = 0$.

In the event that the transmittal letter is separated from this request and the Patent and Trademark Office determines that a fee is required, applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing docket no. 300602002400.**

Dated: December 19, 2003

By:


Raj S. Dave, Ph.D., J.D.
Registration No. 42,465

Morrison & Foerster LLP
1650 Tysons Boulevard
Suite 300
McLean, Virginia 22102
Telephone: (703) 760-7755
Facsimile: (703) 760-7777